

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/803,050	03/18/2004	Ronald S. Plantan	011351.52876US	4608
23911	7590 11/22/2006	•	EXAMINER	
CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			KRAMER, DEVON C	
	P.O. BOX 14300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20044-4300		3683	

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/803,050	PLANTAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
<u> </u>	Devon C. Kramer	3683	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ac	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this of the companion of the	
Status .			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 S	Sentember 2006		
<u>_</u>	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		tters, prosecution as to the	e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	•	•	5 11761116 1G
Disposition of Claims		,	·
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application	<b>l</b> .	•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.		•	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		· <u>-</u> .	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		-	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, ,	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	· *	•	, ,
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	IO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul><li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr</li><li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li></ul>	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	•	Application No	•
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage
application from the International Burea	-		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	t received.	
•	•		
Attachment(s)		•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:		·

Application/Control Number: 10/803,050

Art Unit: 3683

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1) The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2) Claims 1-5, 7-15, and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by May (4729455).

IN re claims 1, 3, 7-8, 11, 13, 17-18 May provides a disc brake for a commercial vehicle, comprising: a rotor (50); a caliper (64); the rotor having a hub portion adapted to be mounted to a axle hub (20, 28) of an axle (12); the rotor having a connecting portion (4.4) which positions the rotor outside an axially inboard extending envelope of the wheel.

In re claims 2, 4, 12 and 14, please note that the examiner is reading the inner radius to be the portion of the rim mounted to the hub.

In re claims 5 and 15, the caliper of May must be mounted to some type of mount.

In re claims 9-10 and 19-20, item 20 can be a hub adapter.

Application/Control Number: 10/803,050

Art Unit: 3683

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4) Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamperl (2003/0111893) or May (4729455) in view of Seki (20030136613).

In re claims 1, 3, 11, 13 both Hamperl and May teach a brake on a commercial vehicle.

Seki teaches a disc brake, comprising: a rotor (48b); a caliper (62); the rotor having a hub portion adapted to be mounted to a axle hub of an axle (46); the rotor having a connecting portion which positions the rotor outside an axially inboard extending envelope of the wheel (see figure 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have provided the brake of Hamperl or May with a brake disposed outside of the wheel envelope as taught by Seki to make working on the brakes easier and to enhance cooling.

In re claims 2, 4, 12 and 14, please note that the examiner is reading the inner radius to be the portion of the rim mounted to the hub.

In re claims 5-8, 15-16 and 17-18, the caliper of Seki must be mounted to some type of mount.

Application/Control Number: 10/803,050

Art Unit: 3683

In re claims 9-10 and 19-20, please note that the axially extending portion of Seki can be considered a hub adapter.

As a secondary rejection or the rejection of claims 2, 4, 12 and 14, the earrangement of Seki allows for a significant number of different sizes of the rotor.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the rotor of Seki to be larger than the rim depending on the amount of surface area desired to have a friction force applied and since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable requires only routine skill in the art. IN re Boesch, 617F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

### Response to Arguments

5) Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 3683

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devon C. Kramer whose telephone number is 571-272-7118. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James McClellan can be reached on (571 )272-6786. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Devon C Kramer Primary Examiner Art Unit 3683

Dear Morre

DK